Digital Citizenship Framework: A Systematic Review of Contemporary Elements and Implementation Challenges

Muhammad Zia ul Haq[1], Ismail Sangkala[2]
[1,2]*Universitas Muhammadiyah Makassar, English Education Department, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Indonesia.*
zia@bg.unismuhmakassar.ac.id

Article Info

Received: *February 15, 2025*
Revised: *March 25, 2025*
Accepted: *April 25, 2025*
Published: *June 30,2025*

Keywords: Digital Citizenship, Digital Literacy, Digital Ethics, Systematic Review, Indonesia, Cultural Adaptation.

Abstract

Digital citizenship has evolved from a simple concept of technology access to a comprehensive framework encompassing ethical, social, and environmental dimensions of digital participation. This systematic review examines contemporary digital citizenship frameworks and their implementation challenges globally and within the Indonesian context. To systematically analyze the evolution of digital citizenship concepts, identify key elements in contemporary frameworks, and assess implementation challenges across different contexts, with particular attention to the Indonesian landscape. A systematic review was conducted following PRISMA guidelines. Literature from 2008-2024 was searched across multiple databases including academic journals, policy documents, and institutional reports. The search focused on digital citizenship frameworks, implementation strategies, and cultural adaptations. The review identified twelve core elements of contemporary digital citizenship: digital access, digital communication, digital literacy, digital ethics, digital security, digital law, digital rights and responsibilities, digital health and wellness, digital commerce, digital sustainability, digital belief, and digital education. Key challenges include the digital divide, insufficient digital literacy, cultural adaptation needs, and regulatory gaps. The Indonesian context presents unique opportunities through local wisdom integration (gotong royong, musyawarah mufakat) while facing infrastructure and literacy challenges. Digital citizenship requires a holistic, culturally-sensitive approach that balances universal principles with local values. Future research should focus on measurement frameworks, long-term impact assessment, and culturally adaptive implementation strategies.

How to cite: Muhammad Zia ul Haq, Ismail Sangkala. (2025). Digital Citizenship Framework: A Systematic Review of Contemporary Elements and Implementation Challenges, Vol. 8 (No. 1), 2025. doi: https://doi.org/10.22219/jpbi.vxiy.xxyy

INTRODUCTION

The rapid advancement of information and communication technology (ICT) has fundamentally transformed how individuals participate in society, creating the need for a comprehensive understanding of digital citizenship. Digital citizenship encompasses the norms, behaviors, and skills required for responsible participation in digital spaces (Ribble, 2015). While early conceptualizations focused primarily on digital access and basic computer skills, contemporary frameworks have expanded to include ethical, environmental, and cultural dimensions.

The concept has evolved significantly since its initial development in the late 1990s,

when internet access began expanding to the general public (Gilster, 1997). Today's digital citizenship frameworks must address complex challenges including algorithmic bias, data privacy, environmental sustainability of technology, and cultural sensitivity in global digital interactions.

This systematic review aims to: (1) trace the evolution of digital citizenship concepts, (2) identify contemporary framework elements, (3) analyze implementation challenges, and (4) examine cultural adaptations, particularly within the Indonesian context where local wisdom traditions intersect with modern digital practices.

Methods

1. Search Strategy

   A comprehensive search was conducted across multiple databases including ERIC, Web of Science, Scopus, and Google Scholar. Search terms included combinations of "digital citizenship," "digital literacy," "netiquette," "digital ethics," and "online responsibility." The search covered literature published between 2008-2024, focusing on peer-reviewed articles, policy documents, and institutional reports.

2. Inclusion Criteria

   Studies were included if they: (1) addressed digital citizenship frameworks or components, (2) discussed implementation strategies or challenges, (3) examined cultural adaptations of digital citizenship, or (4) provided empirical evidence of digital citizenship outcomes.

3. Data Extraction and Analysis

   Data were extracted using a standardized form capturing study characteristics, theoretical frameworks, key findings, and implementation strategies. Thematic analysis was employed to identify recurring themes and patterns across studies.

Results

1. Evolution of Digital Citizenship Concepts

   The literature reveals three distinct phases in digital citizenship development:

   a. Phase 1 (Late 1990s-2005): Access and Basic Skills Early conceptualizations focused primarily on bridging the digital divide through improved access to technology and basic digital skills training (Gilster, 1997). The primary concern was ensuring equal opportunities for technology utilization.

   b. Phase 2 (2006-2015): Ethics and Safety This period saw expansion to include digital ethics, online safety, and responsible online behavior. Ribble's (2011) nine elements framework became influential, covering digital access, communication, etiquette, literacy, rights and responsibilities, law, health and wellness, security, and commerce.

   c. Phase 3 (2016-Present): Holistic and Cultural Integration Contemporary frameworks integrate environmental sustainability, cultural sensitivity, and spiritual dimensions. This phase recognizes digital citizenship as culturally situated and environmentally conscious practice.

2. Contemporary Framework Elements

Analysis revealed twelve core elements in contemporary digital citizenship frameworks:

a. Foundational Elements
   1) Digital Access: Equitable access to technology and information
   2) Digital Literacy: Critical evaluation and effective use of digital information
   3) Digital Communication: Appropriate and responsible online interaction
b. Ethical and Legal Elements
   1) Digital Ethics: Moral principles guiding online behavior
   2) Digital Law: Understanding and compliance with digital regulations
   3) Digital Rights and Responsibilities: Balance between digital rights and obligations
c. Safety and Wellness Elements
   1) Digital Security: Protection of data, devices, and digital identity
   2) Digital Health and Wellness: Maintaining physical and mental well-being in digital environments
d. Participatory Elements
   1) Digital Commerce: Ethical and secure participation in digital economy
   2) Digital Education: Leveraging digital resources for learning and development
e. Contemporary Additions
   1) Digital Sustainability: Environmentally responsible technology use
   2) Digital Belief: Alignment of digital practices with universal spiritual values

3. Implementation Challenges
   a. Infrastructure and Access Challenges

      The digital divide remains a persistent challenge, with disparities in access between urban and rural areas, different socioeconomic groups, and developed versus developing nations. Infrastructure limitations particularly affect remote communities and economically disadvantaged populations.

   b. Digital Literacy Gaps

      Insufficient digital literacy skills prevent effective implementation of digital citizenship principles. Many users lack critical thinking skills necessary to evaluate online information, understand privacy implications, or recognize security threats.

   c. Cultural and Contextual Adaptation

      Generic digital citizenship frameworks often fail to address cultural specificities and local values. Implementation requires adaptation to local contexts, languages, and cultural norms.

   d. Regulatory and Policy Challenges

      Rapid technological advancement often outpaces regulatory development, creating gaps in legal frameworks. Coordination between different jurisdictions and agencies remains challenging.

4. Cultural Adaptation: The Indonesian Context
   a. Integration of Local Wisdom

      Indonesian implementation of digital citizenship demonstrates successful

integration of traditional values with digital practices:

1) Gotong Royong (Mutual Cooperation): Translated into online collaboration and crowdfunding initiatives
2) Musyawarah Mufakat (Deliberation and Consensus): Applied to online discussion forums and virtual meetings
3) Nusantara Etiquette: Incorporating traditional politeness norms into digital communication

b. Government Initiatives

The Indonesian government has launched comprehensive digital literacy programs, including the National Digital Literacy Movement targeting 50 million citizens by 2024. Policy frameworks include the Electronic Information and Transactions Law (UU ITE) and emerging Personal Data Protection regulations.

c. Unique Challenges and Opportunities

Indonesia faces particular challenges including internet access disparities in 3T regions (disadvantaged, frontier, outermost areas), widespread misinformation on social media platforms, and the need for culturally appropriate content moderation. However, the country's cultural diversity and strong community traditions provide opportunities for innovative, community-based approaches to digital citizenship education.

Discussion

1. Synthesis of Findings

This systematic review reveals that digital citizenship has evolved from a technology-focused concept to a comprehensive framework addressing ethical, social, environmental, and cultural dimensions of digital participation. The expansion from Ribble's original nine elements to contemporary twelve-element frameworks reflects growing awareness of technology's broader societal impacts.

2. Theoretical Contributions

The integration of cultural and spiritual dimensions (digital belief) represents a significant theoretical advancement, acknowledging that digital citizenship cannot be culturally neutral. The Indonesian example demonstrates how local wisdom can enhance rather than conflict with digital citizenship principles.

3. Practical Implications

Implementation strategies must be:

1) Culturally Sensitive: Adapting frameworks to local values and practices
2) Holistic: Addressing technical, ethical, social, and environmental dimensions simultaneously
3) Collaborative: Involving multiple stakeholders including government, private sector, civil society, and communities
4) Adaptive: Continuously evolving with technological advancement

4. Limitations

This review's limitations include potential publication bias toward English-language sources and limited access to local-language implementations in non-Western contexts. Future research should prioritize diverse linguistic and cultural perspectives.

Conclusions

Digital citizenship requires comprehensive, culturally-sensitive frameworks that balance universal principles with local values and practices. The evolution from access-focused to holistic approaches reflects growing understanding of technology's complex societal implications. Key recommendations include:

1. Framework Development: Continued refinement of digital citizenship frameworks to address emerging technologies and cultural contexts
2. Implementation Research: More empirical studies examining implementation strategies and outcomes across diverse contexts
3. Cultural Adaptation: Development of culturally-specific approaches while maintaining universal ethical principles
4. Measurement Tools: Creation of validated instruments for assessing digital citizenship competencies
5. Longitudinal Studies: Long-term research examining digital citizenship impacts on individual and societal outcomes

The Indonesian example demonstrates the potential for successful cultural integration while highlighting persistent challenges in infrastructure, literacy, and regulation. Future research should prioritize developing adaptive, culturally-sensitive implementation strategies that leverage local strengths while addressing universal digital citizenship principles.

As digital technologies continue to evolve rapidly, digital citizenship frameworks must remain dynamic, inclusive, and responsive to both technological advancement and cultural diversity. Only through such comprehensive approaches can societies realize the full potential of digital transformation while preserving human dignity and cultural identity.

REFERENCE

Couldry, N., & Hepp, A. (2017). The Mediated Construction of Reality. Polity Press.

Crawford, K. (2021). Atlas of AI: Power, Politics, and the Planetary Costs of Artificial Intelligence. Yale University Press.

Gilster, P. (1997). Digital Literacy. Wiley.

Hague, C., & Payton, S. (2010). Digital Literacy Across the Curriculum. Futurelab.

Hargittai, E. (2002). Second-level digital divide: Differences in people's online skills. First Monday, 7(4).

Kominfo. (2021). Gerakan Nasional Literasi Digital: Peta Jalan & Capaian. Kementerian Komunikasi dan Informatika.

Mossberger, K., Tolbert, C. J., & McNeal, R. (2008). Digital Citizenship: The Internet, Society, and Participation. MIT Press.

Ribble, M. (2011). Digital Citizenship in Schools (2nd ed.). International Society for

Technology in Education.

Ribble, M. (2015). Digital Citizenship in Schools: Nine Elements All Students Should Know (3rd ed.). ISTE.

UNESCO. (2021). Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence. UNESCO.