Article Info

# AN ANALYSIS OF USING ONLINE CONFERENCE TOOLS IN ELT DURING THE PANDEMIC COVID19 AT UNIVERSITAS MUHAMMADIYAH MAKASSAR

St. Syahruni Syam<sup>1</sup>, Muhammad Zia Ul Haq<sup>2</sup>, Ismail Sangkala<sup>3</sup>

**Abstract** 

<sup>1,2,3</sup>Universitas Muhammdiyah Makassar, Indonesia

#### This study used the Post Study System Usability Questionnaire (PSSUQ) method to assess and find out perceptions based on their experiences in using online conferencing tools, especially ELT during the covid19 pandemic at Universitas Muhammadiyah Makassar. The participants in this study were students of English education at the Universitas Muhammadiyah Makassar, students of English education department. Purposive sampling is used by the current researcher. Research activities will be carried out Received: January 22, 2023 through the stages of evaluating which classes in 2019 are very Revised: February 09, 2023 intense using Zoom as an ELT learning medium, then distributing Accepted: February 28, 2023 questionnaires to students as respondents, and finally analyzing the scores obtained and drawing conclusions regarding the use of Published: June 29,2023 Zoom as an ELT learning media at Universitas Muhammadiyah Makassar during the covid19 pandemic. The Post Study System Keywords: Online Conference, Usability Questionnaire (PSSUQ) method is used to assess a Zoom, English Languange system or find out the extent of user experience in order to get an Teaching, Covid19. overall impression of the experience of users or end users of a system. The rule in the PSSUQ score is that the lower the score obtained from the results of the questionnaire, the better the

How to cite: Syam, S. S., Ul Haq, M. Z., & Sangkala, I. (2023). An Analysis Of Using Online Conference Toos In Elt During The Pandemic Covid 19 At Universitas Muhammadiyah Makassar. Journal of Computer Interaction in Education, 6(1), 10–22. https://doi.org/10.56983/jcie.v6i1.573

This is an open access article under the CC BY-SA license.

system will be.

(cc

St. Syahruni Syam

English Education Department,

Universitas Muhammadiyah Makassar,

259 Sultan Alauddin Road, Makassar City, Rappocini 90221, Indonesia.

Email: 105351112418@unismuhmakassar.ac.id

### INTRODUCTION

The impact of the Corona Virus Disease 2019 (Covid-19) pandemic requires educational institutions to carry out learning activities through distance learning methods boldly by using e-learning. Online learning that is well designed during a pandemic can carry the same quality as face-to-face learning (Correia et al., 2020) In the context of the COVID-19 pandemic, the impetus for a shift to emergency online distance teaching has required many educators, teachers, students, parents, and administrators to rely on videoconferencing

systems for synchronous communication. This emergency response to physical distancing proves to be challenging because it is unprecedented and unplanned. Henderson in Sulha et al., (2021)The use of online conferences is an effective way of learning during the COVID-19 pandemic because online conferences are a media for distance learning using technology-based software that can create an atmosphere of a direct learning process in virtual situations.

There are many kinds of online video conferencing at the moment, such as Zoom, Google Meet, Jitsii meet, Skype, and the Microsoft team. But the most widely used today is Zoom. This can be seen in several aspects or institutions that use zoom in conducting meetings or meetings. So this research objective reveals the level of use of educational zoom, especially in the ELT learning process based on user experience in using Zoom. The qualitative method with the PSSUQ Usability design is used to determine the usability level of a system based on user experience. That is the experience of students as users. So, in conclusion, the learning process with Zoom during the Covid-19 pandemic, according to students, what is the level of usability Zoom in ELT learning process. So that it is known that Zoom is an application that is easy to use, overall simple to use and zoom brings a high level of flexibility for e-learning during the pandemic covid19.

### LITERATURE REVIEW

According to Correia et al., (2020) Video conferencing can be used as an effective teaching and communication tool in synchronous distance education. Moreover, due to the multimedia capabilities of web-based videoconferencing technology, teachers and students can express themselves using audio, visual, and verbal communication with others. This reduces the ambiguity caused by text- only communication and enhances psychological engagement, which potentially leads to a performance level in collaborative tasks comparable to face-to-face communication.

Therefore, according to Mu'awanah et al., (2021) the Zoom one of video conference platform that can help students improve language skills and reduce embarrassment in virtual classroom interactions. The zoom platform is also an excellent method of communication. In addition, Hamid (2020) stated that many faculties, including teacher educators, have chosen to convert their courses to live synchronous web meetings using web conferencing tools such as Zoom. Students can use the chat box to communicate with other students, their English teacher, or the whole group. They have access to everyone's cameras and can listen in on everyone's

Vol 6, No 1, June 2023 ISSN: 2656-5218, E-ISSN: 2622-2418

conversations. English teachers can use the break room for group lessons. This is used for the breakout room to group students in pairs, threes or in whatever size group they prefer.

Furthermore, According to Destianingsih & Satria, (2020) that the effective tool that can be utilized in explaining the subject and as the virtual tool to replace face to face meeting is the Zoom application. Because Zoom is considered to be the best approach to the traditional classroom approach to teaching (Mu'awanah et al., 2021). In other words, the use of video conferencing in learning can be the most effective way to examine students' academic and emotional well-being (Lowenthal et al., 2020). In this online environment, people usually use webcams and microphones to chat in real time, creating interactions similar to those found in traditional classrooms (Novawan et al., 2020).

#### **METHODS**

Research data were obtained from documents in the form of journal articles, books, and several articles on the internet that are relevant to the topic of this journal. The collected data is then analyzed to find out the use of online conferencing tools, especially the Zoom application in ELT during the pandemic covid19. Although design and several usability activities are certainly qualitative (Jeff Sauro, 2012). The data collected data is using a Rating scale by Jeff Sauro (2012). Rating scale items are characterized by closed-ended response options. Typically, respondents are asked to agree or disagree to a statement. For numerical analysis, the classic seven-choice Likert response options can be changed to a number from 1 to 7.

### Instrument

This study used a Questionnaire from PSSUQ (Post-Study System Usability Questionnaire). PSSUQ is a questionnaire designed to assess user satisfaction with computer systems or applications (Jeff Sauro, 2012). This questionnaire is based on an internal project from IBM called SUMS (System Usability MetricS) in 1988. SUMS originally consisted of a set of items (Valadi & Broneske, 2020). And Version 3 PSSUQ is the latest updated version. This study, the questionnaire was distributed using google foam. This questionnaire consists of 16 questions with a rating of 1-7. The PSSUQ items yield four overall scores and three subscale scores. The rules for computing them are:

- 1. Overall: Average the responses for Items 1 through 16 (all the items)
- 2. System Quality (SysQual): Average Items 1 through 6
- 3. Information Quality (InfoQual): Average Items 7 through 12
- 4. Interface Quality (IntQual): Average Items 13 through 15

Table 1. Post-Study System Usability Questionnaire (PSSUQ)

	The Post-Study Usability Questionnaire Version 3	Stro ag	ngl ree							rongly sagree	
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7		NA
1	Overall, I am satisfied with how easy it is to use this system.		0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
2	It was simple to use this system.		0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
3	I was able to complete the tasks and scenarios quickly using this system.		0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
4	I felt comfortable using this system.		0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
5	It was easy to learn to use this system.		0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
6	I believe I could become productive quickly using this system.		0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
7	The system gave error messages that clearly told me how to fix problems.		0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
8	Whenever I made a mistake using the system, I could recover easily and quickly.		0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
9	The information (such as online help, on-screen messages and other documentation) provided with this system was clear.		0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
10	It was easy to find the information I needed.		0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
11	The information was effective in helping me complete the tasks and scenarios.		0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
12	The organization of information on the system screens was clear.		0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
13	The interface* of this system was pleasant.		0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
14	I liked using the interface of this system.		0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
15	This system has all the functions and capabilities I expect it to have.		0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
16	Overall, I am satisfied with this system.		0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0

### **Data Analysis**

Distribution of data that has been collected and processed using the PSSUQ Data Analysis Tool provided. Data can be processed through Microsoft Excel or SPSS. According to Jeff & Sauro (2012) PSSUQ items produce four scores, one overall and three subscales. These values are:

1. Overall, overall average response to questions 1 to 16

The formula for calculating the average sub-scale can be done using the following formula:

Sub-scale = <u>Total score of respondents' assessment of each sub-scale (1-16)</u>
number of question items for each scale.

2. System Quality (SysQual) is a subscale that evaluates the quality of the system in this case the average is calculated from questions 1 to 6.

Sub-scale = <u>Total score of respondents' assessment of each sub-scale (1-6)</u>
number of question items for each scale.

3. Information Quality (InfoQual) is the subscale used to rate the quality of information average from questions 7 to 12.

Sub-scale = <u>Total score of respondents' assessment of each sub-scale (7-12)</u>
number of question items for each scale

4. Interface Quality (IntQual) is the subscale used to rate interface quality average from questions 13 to 15.

Sub scale = <u>Total score of respondents' assessment of each sub-scale (13-15)</u>
number of question items for each scale.

### RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Researcher have presented the results of the PSSUQ as follows:

1. Overall of the Zoom

Overall Scale, which consists of questions 1 to 16 so that the calculation is done by adding up the scores of the 16 questions, then the number will be divided by 16 according to the number of questions on the Overall scale.

Questions of the Questionnaire Students Overall Q16 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q5 Q6 Q7 Q8 Q9 Q10 Q11 Q12 Q13 Q14 Q15 S11 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1,06 2 S2 2 2 1 4 5 2 1 1 2 1 2,00

Table 2 Total Score of Overall Scale of Zoom

	, or o, the 1, june 2020 1801 ii 2030 5210, 2 1801 ii 2022 2 110																
S3	3	2	2	4	3	3	4	3	3	2	2	3	3	4	3	4	3,00
S4	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2,00
S5	2	3	2	4	3	2	3	3	2	4	3	3	2	3	2	3	2,75
S6	3	3	7	4	6	6	5	5	5	6	6	4	4	4	4	2	4,63
S7	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	1,81
S8	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	3	2	2	3	2	2	1	1	1,69
S9	2	1	3	1	2	2	4	3	2	2	2	1	1	4	2	2	2,12
S10	7	3	5	7	4	7	4	4	2	2	3	4	3	2	3	4	4,00
S11	2	3	2	2	2	2	4	4	1	2	3	2	2	2	3	1	2,31
S12	1	1	3	1	1	4	4	2	1	4	4	1	1	1	1	2	2,00
S13	1	1	1	1	1	1	6	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1,31
S14	2	2	3	1	1	1	4	3	1	3	3	2	1	1	1	1	1,88
S15	4	4	4	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4,50
S16	2	2	2	3	2	3	3	4	1	1	2	2	1	4	2	3	2,31
S17	1	1	1	2	2	2	4	4	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2,06
S18	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	3	1	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1,50
S19	2	3	4	3	3	3	4	2	3	3	2	3	2	3	3	3	2,88
Mean																	2,41

The value scale ranges from 1-7, the smaller the better

In table 2 above it is found that the average score of the Overall Sub-scale consisting of questions 1 to 16 in the PSSUQ for the use of Zoom is 2.41.

## 2. System Quality of Zoom

System Quality (SysQual) scale, which consists of questions 1 to 6 so that the calculation is done by adding up the scores of the 6 questions, then the number will be divided by 6 according to the number of questions on the SysUse scale.

Table 3 Total Score of System Usability Scale of Zoom

Students		Questions of the Questionnaire											
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	_						
S1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1,00						
S2	2	2	2	1	4	3	2,33						
S3	3	2	2	4	3	3	2,83						

Vol 6, No 1, June 2023 ISSN: 2656-5218, E-ISSN: 2622-2418

S4	2	2	2	2	2	2	2,00
S5	2	3	2	4	3	2	2,67
S6	3	3	7	4	6	6	4,83
S7	2	2	2	2	2	2	2,00
S8	1	1	1	2	1	2	1,33
S9	2	1	3	1	2	2	1,83
S10	7	3	5	7	4	7	5,50
S11	2	3	2	2	2	2	2,17
S12	1	1	3	1	1	4	1,83
S13	1	1	1	1	1	1	1,00
S14	2	2	3	1	1	1	1,67
S15	4	4	4	5	4	5	4,33
S16	2	2	3	2	2	3	2,33
S17	1	1	1	2	2	2	1,50
S18	1	1	1	1	1	1	1,00
S20	2	3	4	3	3	3	3,00
Mean							2,38
Tl	1	f 1	7 41	11 41 1			

The value scale ranges from 1-7, the smaller the better

Based on table 3 above, the researcher found that the results of the student answer questionnaire related to the System Quality scale at PSSUQ for using Zoom was 2.38.

### 3. Information Quality of Zoom

Information Quality (InfoQual) scale, which consists of questions 7 to 12 so that the calculation is done by adding up the scores from 6 questions, then the number will be divided by 6 according to the number of questions on the InfoQual scale.

Table 4 Total Score of Information Quality Scale of Zoom

Students	Ç	Questions of the Questionnaire									
•	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Q11	Q12	_				
S1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1,17				

Vol 6, No 1, June 2023 ISSN: 2656-5218, E-ISSN: 2622-2418

			VOI	0, 110 1,	June 202.	) 1001 <b>4.</b> 20	))0-)210, L-10
S2	5	1	2	1	1	1	1,83
S3	4	3	3	2	2	3	2,83
S4	2	2	2	2	2	2	2,00
S5	3	3	2	4	3	3	3,00
S6	5	5	5	6	6	4	5.17
S7	2	2	1	1	1	2	1,50
S8	1	2	3	2	2	3	2,17
S9	4	3	2	2	2	1	2,33
S10	4	4	2	2	3	4	3,17
S11	4	4	1	2	3	2	2,67
S12	4	2	1	4	4	1	2,67
S13	5	1	1	1	1	1	1,67
S14	4	3	1	3	3	2	2,67
S15	5	5	4	5	5	5	4,83
S16	3	4	1	1	2	2	2,17
S17	4	4	2	2	2	2	2,67
S18	3	3	1	2	2	2	2,17
S19	4	2	3	3	2	3	2,83
Mean							2,61

The value scale ranges from 1-7, the smaller the better

In table 4 above, the researcher found that the average score on the Information Quality scale at PSSUQ for using Zoom was 2.61.

## 4. The Interface Quality

The Interface Quality (IntQual) scale, which consists of questions 13 to 15 so that the calculation is done by adding up the scores from the 3 questions, then the number will be divided by 3 according to the number of questions on the IntQual scale.

Vol 6, No 1, June 2023 ISSN: 2656-5218, E-ISSN: 2622-2418

Table 5 Total Score of Interface Quality Scale of Zoom

Students	Question	s of the Ques	stionnaire	IntQual							
	Q13	Q14	Q15	-							
S1	1	1	1	1,00							
S2	2	2	2	2,00							
S3	3	4	3	3,33							
S4	2	2	2	2,00							
S5	2	3	2	2,33							
S6	4	4	4	4,00							
S7	2	2	2	2,00							
S8	2	2	1	1,67							
S9	1	4	2	2,33							
S10	3	2	3	2,67							
S11	2	2	3	2,33							
S12	1	1	1	1,00							
S13	1	1	1	1,00							
S14	1	1	1	1,00							
S15	5	4	4	4,33							
S16	1	4	2	2,33							
S17	2	2	2	2,00							
S18	2	1	1	1,33							
S19	2	3	3	2,67							
	Mean										
The valu	Mean 2,17  The value scale ranges from 1-7, the smaller the better										

In table 5 above, the researcher found that the average score of Interface Quality at PSSUQ for using Zoom was 2,17.

# Discussion

Based on the results of testing or giving questionnaires conducted online using Google-Foam, it can be seen the results of the overall scale (table 2), system quality scale (table 3), system information scale (table 4), and system interface on zoom (table 5). To see the results of score recapitulation from all scales can be seen in table 6 below.

Table 6 Recapitulation of all PSSUQ sub-scales

Students	Type of PSSUQ Sub Scale								
-	Overall	System	Information	Interface					
		Quality	Quality	Quality					
S1	1, 06	1,00	1,17	1,00					
S2	2,00	2,33	1,83	2,00					
S3	3,00	2,83	2,83	2,33					
S4	2,00	2,00	2,00	2,00					
S5	2,75	2,76	3,00	2,33					
S6	2,67	2,83	5,17	4,00					
S7	2,81	2,00	1,50	2,00					
S8	1,69	1,33	2,17	1,76					
S9	2,12	1,83	2,33	2,33					
S10	4,00	5,50	3,17	2,67					
S11	2,31	2,17	2,67	2,33					
S12	2,00	1,83	2,67	1,00					
S13	1,31	1,00	1,67	1,00					
S14	1,88	1,57	2,67	1,00					
S15	4,50	4,33	4,83	4,33					
S16	2,31	2,33	2,17	2,33					
S17	2,06	1,50	2,67	2,00					
S18	2,50	1,00	2,17	1,33					
S19	2,88	3,00	2,83	2,67					
Mean	2,38	2,61	2,17	2,41					

The value scale ranges from 1-7, the smaller the better

Based on table 6 above, it can be seen that the overall scale of student answers has an average score of 2.38. Furthermore, the average value of the System Quality of Zoom sub-scale

is 2.61. For the scale of Information Quality, there is a score of 2.17. And the last Zoom Interface Quality, the score obtained is 2.41.

To see a comparison of the mean scores set by PSSUQ and the scores given by students based on filling out the questionnaire, it can be seen in table 7 below.

Table 7 PSSUQ Scale Comparison of Student Answer Results

Scale	Scale Rating Rules	Lower Mean		Upper	Average
		Limit		Limit	Student
					Answers
SysQual	Questions 1 - 6	2.57	2.8	3.02	2.38
InfoQual	Questions 7 - 12	2.79	3.02	3.24	2.61
IntQual	Questions 13 - 15	2.28	2.49	2.71	2,17
Overall	Questions 1 - 16	2.62	2.82	3.02	2.41

As can be seen in table 7 above. First a comparison between the Overall scales. The average score assigned by PSSUQ for the overall scale is 2.82. While the score from filling out the questionnaire filled in by students is 2.41. It can be seen as a whole that Zoom is very well used in the learning process, especially in the ELT learning process. This is because seen from the scale comparison, the results of student scores do not even reach the lower limit provided by the PSSUQ itself. With a lower score indicates a higher level of satisfaction (Jeff Sauro, 2012). In the overall sense of using Zoom in the learning process, it makes students satisfied.

Furthermore, judging from the System Quality of Zoom (SysQual), the average score set by PSSUQ is 2.8. While what is obtained from students is 2.38. This means that the score has not reached the average value set by PSSUQ. Thus students say that Zoom is easy to use. For level usability the low score on the SysQual scale means that the system is easy to use and easy to learn (Suwandy & Hadini Marpaung, 2022). Next, for the Information Quality (InfoQual) scale, the score given by students is 2.61. While the mean for this scale is 3.02. This means that from the information side of Zoom, students are also satisfied with this. Finally, about Interface Quality (IntQual) from Zoom. Based on the results of the students' answers, it was found that the score for IntQual was 2.17. Meanwhile, the average set by PSSUQ is 2.49. This means that Zoom has an attractive appearance both through the layout features provided, and so on which makes it easier for students to use it in the distance learning process.

### Reliability Test on the Results of Respondents' Answers

Table 8 Reliability Test using SPSS

						ltem-Total Sta	tistics	
					Scale Mean if	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
				Q1	36.42	226.035	.726	.951
Case	Process	ing Summ	ary	Q2	36.58	235.480	.787	.951
		N	%	Q3	36.05	218.719	.814	.949
Cases V	/alid	19	100.0	Q4	36.11	215.988	.817	.949
E	Excluded	0	.0	Q5	36.21	222.287	.848	.949
7	otal	19	100.0	Q6	35.84	214.585	.840	.949
a. Listwi	se deletion	based on all		Q7	34.95	244.275	.338	.958
variab	les in the p	rocedure.		Q8	35.74	230.427	.710	.951
				Q9	36.58	231.591	.746	.951
				Q10	36.16	228.363	.667	.952
				Q11	36.11	227.099	.744	.951
Reliabi	ility Stat	istics		Q12	36.26	228.316	.843	.949
Cronbac	h's			Q13	36.53	230.708	.832	.950
Alpha		of Items		Q14	36.21	235.398	.626	.953
	.954	16		Q15	36.47	231.374	.886	.949
				Q16	36.47	234.819	.686	.952

Table 8 above is a reliability Test. A reliability test is a tool used to measure the consistency of the questionnaire which is an indicator of the variable. To test reliability, researchers can use the SPSS tool. Where if the alpha value > 0.7 means sufficient reliability, while if alpha > 0.80 this suggests all items are reliable and all tests consistently have strong reliability. Or, there are those who interpret it, if alpha > 0.90 then the reliability is perfect. If the alpha is between 0.70 - 0.90 then the reliability is high. If alpha 0.50 - 0.70 then the reliability is moderate. If alpha < 0.50 then low reliability. If the alpha is low, it is likely that one or more items will be unreliable. Then according to the picture, the alpha obtained is 0.954 which means perfect reliability.

### CONCLUSION

From the results of research conducted using questionnaires, researchers can conclude that student results are viewed from the usability of Zoom as a learning media. It can be seen that almost all pro students use zoom. This can be seen from the score obtained from the Overall subscale, which is 2.41. This score has not reached the Mean set by PSSUQ. So it can be concluded that zoom is suitable for the learning process in ELT, especially in the bold learning process.

In addition, from the results obtained Educators can reconsider to increase their use in the learning process, especially in the ELT learning process. Because the overall results obtained from PSSUQ can be seen that Zoom is a platform that can provide information, display, and satisfaction and it can be said that overall it has received a positive response from students as respondents.

#### REFERENCE

- Correia, A., Liu, C., & Xu, F. (2020). Evaluating videoconferencing systems for the quality of the educational experience Evaluating videoconferencing systems for the quality of the educational experience Evaluating videoconferencing systems for the quality of the educational experience. *Distance Education*, 00(00), 1–24. https://doi.org/10.1080/01587919.2020.1821607
- Destianingsih, A., & Satria, A. (2020). ELT-Lectura: Studies and Perspectives in English Language Teaching Volume Investigating Students' Needs for Effective English Online Learning During Covid-19 for Polbeng Students. ELT-Lectura: Studies and Perspectives in English Language Teaching, 7(2), 147–153.
- Hamid, S. M. (2020). Online Digital Platforms During Covid-19 in Efl Classes: Visual Impairment Student' Perception. ETERNAL (English, Teaching, Learning, and Research Journal), 6(2), 328. https://doi.org/10.24252/eternal.v62.2020.a10
- Jeff Sauro, J. R. L. (2012). Quantifying the User Experience.
- Lowenthal, P. R., Borup, J., West, R. E., & Archambault, L. (2020). Thinking Beyond Zoom: Using Asynchronous Video to Maintain Connection and Engagement During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Jl. of Technology and Teacher Education*, 28(2), 383–391. https://www.marcopolo.me
- Mu'awanah, N., Sumardi, S., & Suparno, S. (2021). Using Zoom to Support English Learning during Covid-19 Pandemic: Strengths and Challenges. *Jurnal Ilmiah Sekolah Dasar*, *5*(2), 222. https://doi.org/10.23887/jisd.v5i2.35006
- Novawan, A., Aisyiyah, S., Miqawati, A. H., Wijayanti, F., & Indrastana, N. S. (2020). Exploring the Teachers' Perspective on Morality in an English as a Foreign Language Pedagogy. *Journal of ELT Research: The Academic Journal of Studies in English Language Teaching and Learning*, 5(1), 80–93. https://doi.org/10.22236/JER
- Sulha, A. H., Kintan Famela, A., Tri, A., & Harahap, A. (2021). The Implementation of Synchronous and Asynchronous Learning in English as Foreign Language Setting. 1(1), 17–27. https://doi.org/10.51574/ijrer.v1i1.50
- Suwandy, R., & Hadini Marpaung, S. (2022). Evaluasi Pengalaman Pengguna dengan Menggunakan Post Study System Usability Questionnaire (PSSUQ) Perpustakaan Digital Universitas Mikroskil. *Jurnal Pendidikan Tambusai*, 6, 4190–4206. https://www.mikroskil.ac.id/pustaka.
- Valadi, S., & Broneske, I. D. (2020). Analysis of Current Usability and User Experience Questionnaires and Creating an Optimized Usability Questionnaire. *Magdeburg:* Universität ..., 6.